

|   |                        |                             |  |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b>         |  |
|   | 10/713,744             | MARTINEZ, ANTHONY<br>EDWARD |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>             |  |
|   | Son M. Tang            | 2632                        |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Son M. Tang.

(2) Robert Wilder (Attorney).

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 March 2006

**Time:** 6:00 P.M

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 12 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized the attached Examiner Amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner Amendment for detail.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)